

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claim 1-20 are currently pending. Applicants have amended claims 1 and 12. Applicants have canceled claim 9 and amended the feature recited in claim 9 into claim 1. Applicants have canceled claim 18 and amended the features recited in claim 18 into claim 12. Applicants submit that the amendments have not inserted any new matter into the application.

Claims 1 and 12 were objected to for not defining "information" feature recited in these claims. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 12, and 14-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0163072 to Levy (hereinafter "Levy"). Claims 1-6, 10, 12-17, and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,36,017 to Sanders (hereinafter "Sanders"). Claims 2 and 3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Levy in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,024,661 to Leino et al (hereinafter "Leino") or in view of Sanders. Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Levy in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2005/0114818 to Khakzadi et al (hereinafter "Khakzadi"). Claims 10 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Levy in view of Sanders.

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 9, 11, 18, and 20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reconsideration of the claims in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

**Objections to Claims**

Claims 1 and 12 were objected to for not defining "information" feature recited in these claims. Applicants have amended claims 1 and 12 to further define the "information" feature recited in claims 1 and 12. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the objections to claims 1 and 12 be withdrawn.

**Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102**

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 12, and 14-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Levy. Claims 1-6, 10, 12-17, and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Sanders.

Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the features recited in allowable claim 9, and Applicants have amended independent claim 12 to include the features recited in allowable claim 18. Accordingly, Applicants submit that neither Levy nor Sanders, either alone or in combination, teach each of the element of amended claims 1 and 12 are not anticipated by Levy or Sander. Furthermore, dependent claims 2-6, 8, and 10, which depend from claim 1, and dependent claims 13-17 and 10, which depend from claim 12, are also allowable at least due to their dependence from independent claims 1 and 12, respectively.

**Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103**

Claims 2 and 3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Levy in view of Leino or in view of Sanders. Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Levy in view of Khakzadi. Claims 10 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Levy in view of Sanders.

**Claims 2, 3, 7 and 10**

Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the features recited in allowable claim 9, and Applicants submit that claims 2, 3, 7, and 10 should also be allowable at least due to their dependence from claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections of claims 2, 3, 7 and 10 be withdrawn.

**Claim 19**

Applicants have amended claim 12 to include the features recited in allowable claim 18, and Applicants submit that claim 19 should also be allowable at least due its dependence from claim 12. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 19 be withdrawn.


**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 28, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffrey S. King  
Reg. No. 58,791

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3834  
Tel: (650) 326-2400 Fax: (650) 326-2422

JSK/psc  
61007700 v1